Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/660,332	CLARK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara Summons	2817
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowable</u>		
(1) <u>Barbara_Summons</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. David R. Syrowik (Reg. No. 27,956)</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: 25 October 2004	Time: <u>afternoon</u>	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 89		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Bailaia Summono		
BARBARA SUMMONS PRIMARY EXAMINER		
	Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/660,332

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that the claims would be allowable, except claim 89 lacked antecedent basis for "the aat least one projection" because some text from the previous version of the claim (see claim 62) appeared to have been omitted. It was agreed that the missing text would be inserted by the accompanying examiner's amendment..